

Meeting	Corporate Appeals Panel
Date	28 February 2017
Present	Councillors Galvin, Reid and Looker

---

### **30. Election of Chair**

Resolved: That Councillor Galvin be elected to Chair the meeting.

### **31. Exclusion of Press and Public**

Resolved: That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of agenda item 5 (Appeal against Dismissal) on the grounds that it contains information relating to an individual and information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual. This information is classified as exempt under paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 12A to Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, as revised by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.

### **32. Declarations of Interest**

Members were invited to declare at this point in the meeting any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests, any prejudicial interests or disclosable pecuniary interests which they may have in respect of the business on the agenda. None were declared.

### **33. Minutes**

Resolved: That the minutes of the meeting held on 22 April 2016 be approved as a correct record and then signed by the Chair.

### **34. Appeal against Dismissal**

The Panel considered an appeal against dismissal on the grounds of compulsory redundancy under the Council's Supporting Transformation (Management of Change) Policy.

The hearing was attended by an Assistant Director, who presented the management case and an HR Business Partner advising management. The appellant was in attendance at the hearing and was accompanied by their Unison representative. An HR Business Partner was also in attendance to provide HR advice to the Panel.

The Panel considered all the evidence presented to them by both parties, both in writing and verbally and they also took into account evidence provided at the hearing by one witness who was called in support of management's case.

Having considered all the available information, the Panel found no evidence to support any of the grounds for appeal. They felt that the appellant had been correctly included in the scope of the restructure and noted that management had consulted properly and had reasonably considered alternative options. They also felt that the selection process had been carried out appropriately and fairly and that the council had made every reasonable effort to mitigate the redundancy.

Resolved: That the appeal not be upheld.

Reason: The Panel felt that the decision taken by management to dismiss the appellant was fair and reasonable in all the circumstances of the case and in accordance with the Council's Supporting Transformation (Management of Change) Policy.

Councillor Galvin, Chair

[The meeting started at 10.00 am and finished at 11.30 am].